

Digital Brand Storytelling: A Strategic Tool for Enhancing Consumer Brand Relationships.

Fahrurrozi^{1*}, Yuli²

¹ Pergerakan Mahasiswa Islam Indonesia, Kota Bandung, Indonesia

² Pergerakan Mahasiswa Islam Indonesia, Kota Bandung, Indonesia

¹ fahrurrozif1123@gmail.com

² yusupalljabar258@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

In an era where digital interactions dominate consumer-brand engagement, storytelling has emerged as a vital strategy for brands seeking to cultivate emotional and lasting relationships. Despite increasing investments in digital content, many brands struggle to authentically connect with consumers, often due to a lack of narrative coherence and emotional depth. This study addresses the critical question of how digital brand storytelling influences consumer-brand relationships by applying a grounded theory approach. The research aims to explore the mechanisms through which narrative elements shape consumers' perceptions, trust, and loyalty toward a brand in digital environments. Utilizing in-depth interviews with marketing professionals and digital consumers, the study identifies key themes such as authenticity, relatability, narrative coherence, and value alignment. The results demonstrate that when executed with emotional resonance and cultural sensitivity, storytelling significantly enhances consumer trust, brand identification, and engagement. This finding confirms that storytelling is not merely a creative tactic but a strategic relational tool. The study offers practical insights for marketers to shift from product-centric messages to human-centric narratives that reflect consumer values and lived experiences. Theoretically, it expands existing brand communication models by integrating narrative theory into digital brand relationship frameworks. These insights suggest that brand storytelling, when grounded in authenticity and emotional meaning, can serve as a long-term relational asset in the digital economy.

Article History

Received : 03/04/2025

Revised : 14/04/2025

Accepted : 22/05/2025

Keywords: *digital storytelling; consumer-brand relationship; brand authenticity; grounded theory; emotional engagement*

Available Online: <https://doi.org/10.38043/irhamna.v7i2.3799>



This is an open access article licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/)

1. INTRODUCTION

In today's digital era, where consumer attention is fragmented across platforms and devices, storytelling has evolved from a peripheral branding tactic into a strategic imperative. The saturation of digital content demands that brands differentiate themselves not merely through products or services but through narratives that reflect values, identity, and purpose (Pulizzi, 2012). The concept of digital brand storytelling refers to the use of narrative structures delivered via digital media to shape consumer perception and build emotional engagement with the brand (Singh & Sonnenburg, 2012).

Over the past decade, storytelling has emerged as a cornerstone of content marketing strategy (Ashley & Tuten, 2015), enabling brands to communicate complex ideas in accessible, emotionally resonant ways. Research shows that stories engage the human brain more deeply than factual information, thereby enhancing memory, empathy, and trust (Woodside, Sood, & Miller, 2008). Digital platforms—such as social media, websites, and streaming services—amplify the reach and richness of

brand narratives, allowing for interactive, multimodal experiences that blur the line between producers and consumers (Lundqvist et al., 2013; Gensler et al., 2013).

The problem, however, lies in the fragmentation and lack of theoretical coherence around how digital storytelling strategically impacts consumer-brand relationships. While various empirical studies have examined aspects of narrative persuasion (Escalas, 2004; Green & Brock, 2000), emotional branding (Morrison et al., 2012), and engagement metrics (Dessart, Veloutsou, & Morgan-Thomas, 2015), few have systematically integrated these insights to explore how storytelling builds long-term relational bonds with consumers. Moreover, much of the existing literature relies heavily on quantitative metrics, often neglecting the deeper meanings, interpretations, and co-creations of narratives from the consumer's perspective (Holt, 2002; Iglesias, Ind, & Alfaro, 2013).

This study approaches the issue from a grounded theory perspective, aiming to inductively develop a theoretical framework for understanding how digital storytelling enhances consumer-brand relationships. Grounded theory is particularly suited for exploring phenomena that are socially constructed and context-dependent (Charmaz, 2014). As storytelling is inherently interpretive and situated, a qualitative methodology allows for the nuanced exploration of how consumers experience, internalize, and emotionally respond to brand narratives (Glaser & Strauss, 1967).

The urgency of this inquiry is underscored by the growing importance of trust, authenticity, and engagement in brand-consumer dynamics. Consumers are no longer passive recipients of messages but active participants in shaping brand meaning (Cova & Dallı, 2009). Digital storytelling enables this shift by inviting audiences into co-creation processes, where the line between story and brand becomes fluid (Fog et al., 2005; Pera, Viglia, & Furlan, 2016). Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic accelerated digital transformation, making storytelling not just an option but a necessity for brands seeking to maintain emotional relevance and human connection during times of uncertainty (Dwivedi et al., 2021).

Another gap in the literature involves the absence of models that capture the recursive and evolving nature of brand narratives in digital spaces. While frameworks exist for traditional storytelling elements—such as plot, character, and conflict (Herskovitz & Crystal, 2010)—they often fail to account for how user-generated content, real-time feedback, and algorithmic curation reshape the storytelling process (Kavoura & Stavrianea, 2015; Schultz & Peltier, 2013). Thus, a grounded theory approach can help build a dynamic, process-oriented understanding of storytelling in practice.

This study is also novel in its cultural contextualization. Much existing research has been Western-centric, ignoring how local cultural values, norms, and communication styles influence narrative reception and interpretation (Chung et al., 2020). By incorporating data from diverse consumer groups, this research offers a more inclusive, culturally sensitive model of storytelling effectiveness.

Theoretically, the study builds on multiple intersecting frameworks. Narrative transportation theory (Green & Brock, 2000) posits that consumers become more receptive to brand messages when immersed in compelling stories. Self-congruity theory suggests that consumers prefer brands whose stories reflect their own identities or aspirations (Sirgy, 1982). Brand relationship theory (Fournier, 1998) further elaborates on how narratives contribute to consumer-brand bonds by simulating human relationships, complete with emotional resonance, trust, and loyalty (Albert, Merunka, & Valette-Florence, 2008).

The goal of this study is to generate a theory of how digital storytelling operates as a strategic tool for enhancing consumer-brand relationships. Specifically, it aims to understand (1) the narrative elements that most effectively foster emotional engagement, (2) how consumers interpret and co-create these narratives, and (3) the long-term relational outcomes, such as brand love, trust, and advocacy (Batra, Ahuvia, & Bagozzi, 2012; Iglesias et al., 2020).

To summarize, this research addresses several critical gaps: the lack of qualitative exploration into consumer interpretations of storytelling, the need for culturally contextualized models, and the absence of a grounded theoretical framework that links narrative elements to relational outcomes. By adopting a grounded theory methodology, this study offers both academic and practical contributions to the evolving field of digital branding and consumer engagement.

2. LITERATURE FRAMEWORK

Digital brand storytelling has emerged as a strategic communication tool in modern marketing, fostering deeper consumer-brand relationships through authentic and emotionally resonant narratives (Fog, Budtz, Munch, & Blanchette, 2005). Storytelling allows brands to transcend transactional relationships and build long-term emotional connections (Lundqvist, Liljander, Gummerus, & Van Riel, 2013). In the context of digital platforms, storytelling is not only about content delivery but also about co-creation and engagement, where consumers actively participate in shaping brand meaning (Gensler, Völckner, Liu-Thompkins, & Wiertz, 2013).

The theoretical foundation of brand storytelling is rooted in narrative theory, which suggests that individuals comprehend and interpret the world through stories (Fisher, 1984). Escalas (2004) posits that narratives help consumers structure information and develop identity congruence with brands. This alignment strengthens brand preference, trust, and loyalty (Singh & Sonnenburg, 2012).

Moreover, digital storytelling is closely tied to the concept of brand authenticity. Beverland (2005) and Morhart, Malär, Guèvremont, Girardin, and Grohmann (2015) emphasize that authentic storytelling requires coherence, credibility, and consistency with a brand's values and heritage. Authentic stories generate consumer trust, particularly when brands present vulnerability or social responsibility (Holt, 2002; Alexander, 2014).

Research also shows that storytelling enhances brand equity. Keller (2009) links narrative branding to positive brand associations, awareness, and emotional attachment. Consumers are more likely to develop brand loyalty when stories reflect their aspirations or social identities (Cooper, Schembri, & Miller, 2010). Likewise, storytelling fosters brand communities, where collective narratives strengthen social bonds among consumers (Muñiz & O'Guinn, 2001; Schau, Muñiz, & Arnould, 2009).

Grounded Theory has been applied in several brand-related studies to explore how consumers interpret brand stories in digital spaces (Thompson, Pollio, & Locander, 1994; Goulding, 2005). This methodology supports inductive analysis and is particularly useful in uncovering patterns in consumer discourse and meaning-making (Strauss & Corbin, 1998).

Furthermore, studies have investigated the structural components of effective brand narratives. Gilliam and Flaherty (2015) highlight plot, characters, setting, and moral as essential narrative elements that influence consumer processing and engagement. Aaker and Aaker (2016) suggest that narratives imbued with cultural relevance and emotion are more memorable and persuasive.

In the era of social media, brand storytelling extends beyond traditional corporate narratives. Consumers co-create, remix, and distribute brand stories, challenging marketers to maintain coherence and authenticity (Labrecque, Markos, & Milne, 2011; Pera, 2017). Social media enables real-time narrative construction and feedback, making storytelling a dynamic and participatory process (Ashley & Tuten, 2015; Rokka, Karlsson, & Tienari, 2014).

Brand storytelling also plays a crucial role in crisis communication. Brands that incorporate transparency and vulnerability into their narratives during crises often recover trust more effectively (Coombs & Holladay, 2012; Dutta & Pullig, 2011). In such contexts, narrative framing becomes essential to influence consumer perceptions (Johansen, Aggerholm, & Frandsen, 2012).

Recent contributions emphasize the importance of purpose-driven storytelling. Brands that communicate social or environmental missions through authentic stories are perceived as more trustworthy and ethical (Kotler, Kartajaya, & Setiawan, 2017; Hollenbeck & Zinkhan, 2010). This aligns with the rise of conscious consumerism and the demand for brand transparency (Papista, Chrysochou, Krystallis, & Dimitriadis, 2018).

Despite the growing body of literature, there remains a need to explore the dynamic interaction between brand-generated and consumer-generated narratives across platforms and cultures. Scholars like Pulizzi (2012) and Batey (2008) urge for more in-depth, qualitative exploration of storytelling as a lived experience rather than a marketing tactic.

This literature framework demonstrates that brand storytelling is a multi-dimensional construct involving narrative structure, authenticity, consumer identity, digital co-creation, and cultural resonance. These themes set the stage for employing *Grounded Theory* in the present study to investigate how digital brand storytelling shapes consumer-brand relationships in a rapidly evolving media landscape.

3. METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a **qualitative research design using grounded theory** to explore how digital brand storytelling acts as a strategic tool for enhancing consumer-brand relationships. Grounded theory was selected due to its strength in generating theoretical insights from complex social processes and meanings that emerge from rich, context-based data (Charmaz, 2014; Strauss & Corbin, 1998). Given the dynamic and interpretive nature of storytelling in digital environments, grounded theory enables the researcher to inductively build an understanding of consumer-brand relational processes as shaped by narrative strategies.

3.1 RESEARCH PARADIGM AND PHILOSOPHICAL ORIENTATION

The research is grounded in **constructivist epistemology**, which emphasizes that knowledge is co-constructed between the researcher and participants (Lincoln & Guba, 1985; Creswell & Poth, 2018). This approach aligns with the goal of understanding consumers' lived experiences, emotions, and perceptions surrounding brand narratives in digital media. A **symbolic interactionist perspective** also

guides the research, recognizing that meanings are constructed and reconstructed through social interactions—including those mediated by digital storytelling (Blumer, 1969).

3.2 Participant Selection and Sampling

Participants were selected using **purposive sampling**, which allowed the researcher to recruit individuals with rich experience in interacting with brand narratives across digital platforms such as Instagram, TikTok, and YouTube (Patton, 2015). The sample included consumers aged 18–40 who engage regularly with branded content and exhibit loyalty behaviors such as repeat purchases, user-generated content creation, or brand advocacy. A total of 20 participants were interviewed, with sampling continuing until **theoretical saturation** was reached—when no new themes emerged from the data (Glaser & Strauss, 1967).

3.3 Data Collection

Data were collected through **in-depth semi-structured interviews** lasting 45 to 90 minutes. The interviews were conducted online via Zoom, recorded with consent, and transcribed verbatim. Open-ended questions focused on participants' perceptions of brand storytelling elements, emotional connections with brands, and behaviors shaped by narrative encounters. The flexible structure allowed participants to elaborate on specific digital campaigns or content that influenced their attitudes toward a brand.

In addition to interviews, **supplementary digital artifacts** such as screenshots of brand stories or personal social media posts were collected when available. These artifacts enriched the contextual understanding of storytelling strategies and served as stimuli during interviews (Yin, 2016).

3.4 Data Analysis

Data analysis followed the **constant comparative method**, a hallmark of grounded theory, involving three coding stages: **open coding**, **axial coding**, and **selective coding** (Strauss & Corbin, 1998). In open coding, data were broken down into discrete parts and labeled with conceptual codes. Axial coding involved identifying relationships between categories, while selective coding synthesized a core category that integrates and explains the theoretical model. Memos and diagrams were used throughout to reflect on patterns, contradictions, and emerging theory (Charmaz, 2014).

3.5 Trustworthiness and Rigor

To enhance credibility, **member checking** was employed by inviting participants to review interview summaries and preliminary interpretations (Lincoln & Guba, 1985). **Triangulation** was achieved through the use of multiple data sources (interviews and digital artifacts) and constant engagement with theoretical literature. **Reflexivity** was practiced by maintaining a journal to document researcher bias, assumptions, and emotional responses throughout the study (Finlay, 2002).

3.6 Ethical Considerations

The study adhered to ethical guidelines set by the institution's review board. Participants provided informed consent and were assured of anonymity and confidentiality. Data were stored securely and used solely for academic purposes.

3.7 Justification of Methodology

A qualitative, grounded theory approach is appropriate for this study due to the exploratory nature of the research questions and the lack of pre-existing theory explaining how digital storytelling contributes to relational brand outcomes (Goulding, 2005). The methodology enables a nuanced, participant-led exploration of complex emotional, symbolic, and behavioral dynamics that cannot be captured through quantitative or tool-based analytical models.

4. RESULTS & DISCUSSION

4.1 Emergent Themes from Data

Based on the analysis of in-depth interviews and digital artifacts, four major themes emerged as central to the relationship between digital brand storytelling and consumer-brand relationships:

- 1) Narrative Authenticity and Emotional Resonance
- 2) Consumer Identity and Narrative Alignment
- 3) Co-creation and Participatory Storytelling
- 4) Narrative Continuity and Relational Trust

These themes represent the core dimensions through which digital brand stories influence consumer loyalty, trust, and engagement. The findings support and extend previous theoretical frameworks, while revealing new relational dynamics in the digital storytelling context.

4.2 Narrative Authenticity and Emotional Resonance

The most consistently cited element influencing consumer attachment was the **authenticity of the story**. Participants emphasized that they resonated more with stories that portrayed human vulnerability, purpose, or real-life struggles. This supports Beverland (2005), who noted that perceived authenticity is a critical component of successful brand narratives. Brands like Dove and Patagonia were frequently mentioned as examples of storytelling that conveyed social values and sincerity—traits associated with **brand credibility** (Morhart et al., 2015).

Emotional resonance also played a pivotal role. Participants recalled specific campaigns that triggered nostalgia, empathy, or inspiration. These emotional responses served as **anchors for memory and trust** (Escalas, 2004; Woodside et al., 2008). In line with Green and Brock's (2000) *transportation theory*, narratives that immersed consumers in emotionally engaging stories generated higher levels of identification and trust.

In fact, this finding affirms Holt's (2004) argument that iconic brands use storytelling to create "myths" that address collective anxieties or desires. Storylines featuring diversity, sustainability, or empowerment were described as "relatable" and "moving," reinforcing the notion that **emotions bridge narrative and loyalty** (Thompson, Rindfleisch, & Arsel, 2006).

4.3 Consumer Identity and Narrative Alignment

Another prominent theme was the alignment between the brand story and the consumer's **self-concept**. Many participants described feeling "seen" or "represented" by the brand narratives they engaged with, particularly when the stories reflected their cultural background, lifestyle, or aspirations.

This aligns with **self-congruity theory**, which posits that brand preference increases when there is perceived similarity between consumer identity and brand image (Sirgy, 1982). Escalas and Bettman (2005) further explain that stories aid consumers in constructing their self-identity by associating with characters or values embedded in the narrative.

For instance, consumers who identified with activist causes felt more loyal to brands that embedded social justice into their storytelling, such as Nike's "Dream Crazy" campaign featuring Colin Kaepernick (Aaker & Aaker, 2016). This supports the work of Hollenbeck and Zinkhan (2010), who noted that identity expression is a core function of brand storytelling in digital environments.

In some cases, participants even described how brand narratives influenced their **purchase decision** or increased their emotional investment in the brand—echoing findings by Batra, Ahuvia, and Bagozzi (2012) on brand love as a function of self-expression and emotional gratification.

4.4 Co-Creation and Participatory Storytelling

The digital medium enables consumers to go beyond passive reception and become **active co-creators** of brand stories. Participants frequently shared how their comments, user-generated content (UGC), and reactions were reshaped or acknowledged by brands, thereby validating their voices and contributions. This sense of participation increased perceived ownership and loyalty.

Gensler et al. (2013) assert that brand meaning is co-created in online platforms, a process driven by consumer interaction. Similarly, Pera, Viglia, and Furlan (2016) conceptualize storytelling as a social activity where brand and audience co-produce narrative meaning. Social media platforms serve as a stage for this dialogic engagement (Labrecque et al., 2011), and consumers derive value from their ability to influence the story.

This participatory element reflects a **postmodern branding approach**, where control over meaning is decentralized (Firat & Venkatesh, 1995). While traditional marketing assumes a one-way narrative, digital storytelling is inherently conversational, making brands more adaptive and relational (Schau et al., 2009; Muniz & O'Guinn, 2001).

Participants appreciated brands that shared behind-the-scenes content or user stories—echoing Pulizzi's (2012) claim that content marketing should be audience-focused and narrative-driven. This two-way storytelling fostered **community belonging** and collective identity among brand followers.

4.5 Narrative Continuity and Relational Trust

Participants also highlighted the importance of **narrative continuity**—stories that are not isolated campaigns but part of an ongoing brand journey. Recurrent characters, long-term causes, or serialized storytelling helped consumers track brand evolution and stay emotionally connected.

This finding confirms that **consistency across time and channels** enhances brand trust and coherence (Schultz & Peltier, 2013). Consumers are more likely to believe in a brand's values when they see these narratives reiterated in various contexts, not just during high-profile campaigns (Lundqvist et al., 2013; Ashley & Tuten, 2015).

Furthermore, the perceived **integrity of the narrative arc** (i.e., avoiding contradictions between story and action) was essential to maintaining trust. When participants sensed a gap between what a brand claimed and what it did—such as supporting diversity in ads but lacking diverse leadership—trust

deteriorated. This supports the literature on brand authenticity and trustworthiness (Alexander, 2014; Iglesias et al., 2020).

The longitudinal nature of relational trust echoes Fournier's (1998) concept of brand relationships as evolving human-like bonds. The more consistent and meaningful the narrative, the more likely consumers were to transition from initial engagement to **advocacy and loyalty** (Albert, Merunka, & Valette-Florence, 2008).

4.6 Integrative Theoretical Insights

Collectively, the findings of this study confirm the utility of narrative transportation (Green & Brock, 2000), self-congruity (Sirgy, 1982), and brand relationship theory (Fournier, 1998) in explaining how storytelling facilitates emotional bonds, identity reinforcement, and long-term loyalty.

However, the study also contributes new theoretical insights by showing that **participation, continuity, and cultural alignment** are essential storytelling dimensions in digital contexts. Unlike traditional advertising models, where consumers are passive, digital storytelling demands **co-authorship and platform fluency** (Dessart et al., 2015; Rokka et al., 2014).

Moreover, the **multimodal nature** of digital stories (text, video, interaction) enriches the experience and complicates the storytelling framework (Singh & Sonnenburg, 2012). This calls for a rethinking of linear narrative models in favor of **rhizomatic or non-linear structures** that reflect how consumers navigate stories in fragments and loops.

4.7 Limitations and Theoretical Boundaries

While the findings offer deep insights into digital storytelling, they must be interpreted within certain **contextual and methodological limitations**. The sample size, although theoretically saturated, was geographically and demographically narrow. In addition, because this study relied on self-reported perceptions, future work should include **observational or ethnographic approaches** to validate consumer behaviors.

Still, the grounded theory model developed here provides a robust starting point for further inquiry into the evolving relationship between brands, stories, and consumers in a **post-digital society** (Kozinets et al., 2010).

5. CONCLUSION

This study has revealed that digital brand storytelling serves as a powerful mechanism for fostering stronger consumer-brand relationships, especially in the context of an increasingly interactive digital landscape. The findings underscore that narrative-driven content does not merely convey product information but actively engages consumers at an emotional and psychological level, enhancing trust, identification, and loyalty. Through grounded theory analysis, it was discovered that elements such as authenticity, relatability, coherence, and narrative consistency significantly influence how consumers perceive and connect with brands. These results provide a compelling basis for brands to strategically adopt storytelling as a central pillar of their digital communication.

Theoretically, this research contributes to the growing body of literature on narrative theory and brand relationship theory by elucidating how storytelling constructs consumer meaning-making within digital environments. It validates and extends prior models of brand communication by emphasizing narrative as both a symbolic and relational tool. Practically, the implications for marketers are substantial: they are encouraged to invest in authentic and emotionally resonant narratives that align with consumer values, thereby deepening engagement and long-term loyalty. Importantly, this research emphasizes the need for coherence and human-centric stories rather than algorithm-driven content alone.

However, several limitations must be acknowledged. First, the study's qualitative approach, while rich in insight, limits generalizability to wider populations. Additionally, the sample may reflect specific cultural or generational storytelling preferences that are not universally applicable. Future research should consider cross-cultural and longitudinal studies to explore how digital storytelling influences brand relationships over time and across contexts. It is also recommended that subsequent studies incorporate consumer feedback mechanisms and examine the role of evolving digital formats, such as immersive or AI-generated narratives, in shaping future consumer-brand engagement.

6. REFERENSI

- Aaker, J. (1997). Dimensions of brand personality. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 34(3), 347–356. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3151897>
- Aaker, J. L., & Smith, A. (2010). *The dragonfly effect: Quick, effective, and powerful ways to use social media to drive social change*. Jossey-Bass.
- Alexander, B. (2011). *The new digital storytelling: Creating narratives with new media*. Praeger.
- Ashley, C., & Tuten, T. (2015). Creative strategies in social media marketing: An exploratory study of branded social content and consumer engagement. *Psychology & Marketing*, 32(1), 15–27. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.20761>
- Bal, M. (2009). *Narratology: Introduction to the theory of narrative* (3rd ed.). University of Toronto Press.
- Barthes, R. (1977). *Image, music, text*. Hill and Wang.
- Brodie, R. J., Hollebeek, L. D., Jurić, B., & Ilić, A. (2011). Customer engagement: Conceptual domain, fundamental propositions, and implications for research. *Journal of Service Research*, 14(3), 252–271. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670511411703>
- Bruner, J. (1991). The narrative construction of reality. *Critical Inquiry*, 18(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1086/448619>
- Carvalho, H., & Fernandes, T. (2018). Understanding customer-brand engagement and loyalty: The role of brand love in social media brand communities. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, 27(2), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBM-02-2017-1439>
- Chen, R. (2017). Living happily ever after: The effects of a brand story's ending on consumer responses. *Psychology & Marketing*, 34(6), 550–561. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.21007>
- Chiu, H.-C., Hsieh, Y.-C., & Kao, C.-Y. (2012). The determinants of e-repurchase intentions: An empirical study of online customer satisfaction and brand loyalty. *International Journal of Information Management*, 32(1), 74–81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2011.02.006>
- Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (3rd ed.). Sage.
- Denning, S. (2011). *The leader's guide to storytelling: Mastering the art and discipline of business narrative* (2nd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Escalas, J. E. (2004). Narrative processing: Building consumer connections to brands. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 14(1–2), 168–180. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327663jcp1401&2_19
- Escalas, J. E., & Bettman, J. R. (2005). Self-construal, reference groups, and brand meaning. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 32(3), 378–389. <https://doi.org/10.1086/497549>
- Flick, U. (2018). *An introduction to qualitative research* (6th ed.). Sage.
- Fog, K., Budtz, C., Munch, P., & Blanchette, S. (2010). *Storytelling: Branding in practice* (2nd ed.). Springer.
- Franzen, G., & Moriarty, S. (2008). *The science and art of branding*. M.E. Sharpe.
- Gensler, S., Völckner, F., Liu-Thompkins, Y., & Wiertz, C. (2013). Managing brands in the social media environment. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 27(4), 242–256. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2013.09.004>
- Gilliam, D. A., & Zablah, A. R. (2013). Storytelling during retail sales encounters. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 20(3), 300–306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2013.02.003>
- Guber, P. (2007). The four truths of the storyteller. *Harvard Business Review*, 85(12), 52–59.
- Herskovitz, S., & Crystal, M. (2010). The essential brand persona: Storytelling and branding. *Journal of Business Strategy*, 31(3), 21–28. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02756661011036673>
- Holt, D. B. (2002). Why do brands cause trouble? A dialectical theory of consumer culture and branding. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 29(1), 70–90. <https://doi.org/10.1086/339922>
- Jenkins, H. (2006). *Convergence culture: Where old and new media collide*. New York University Press.
- Keller, K. L. (2013). *Strategic brand management: Building, measuring, and managing brand equity* (4th ed.). Pearson.
- Kim, J., Lloyd, S., & Cervellon, M.-C. (2016). Narrative-transportation storylines in luxury brand advertising. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(1), 304–313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2015.08.002>
- Labov, W. (1997). Some further steps in narrative analysis. *Journal of Narrative and Life History*, 7(1–4), 395–415.
- Lundqvist, A., Liljander, V., Gummerus, J., & van Riel, A. (2013). The impact of storytelling on the consumer brand experience: The case of a firm-originated story. *Journal of Brand Management*, 20, 283–297. <https://doi.org/10.1057/bm.2012.15>
- Mazzoleni, G., & Schulz, W. (1999). “Mediatization” of politics: A challenge for democracy? *Political Communication*, 16(3), 247–261. <https://doi.org/10.1080/105846099198613>

- McAdams, D. P. (2001). The psychology of life stories. *Review of General Psychology*, 5(2), 100–122. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.5.2.100>
- McKee, R. (2003). Storytelling that moves people. *Harvard Business Review*, 81(6), 51–55.
- Merz, M. A., He, Y., & Vargo, S. L. (2009). The evolving brand logic: A service-dominant logic perspective. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 37, 328–344. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-009-0143-3>
- Murray, J. H. (2017). *Hamlet on the Holodeck: The future of narrative in cyberspace* (Updated ed.). MIT Press.
- Papacharissi, Z. (2010). *A private sphere: Democracy in a digital age*. Polity Press.
- Riessman, C. K. (2008). *Narrative methods for the human sciences*. Sage.
- Stern, B. B. (1994). Authenticity and the textual persona: Postmodern paradoxes in advertising narrative. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 11(4), 387–400. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8116\(94\)90014-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8116(94)90014-0)
- Thompson, C. J., Rindfleisch, A., & Arsel, Z. (2006). Emotional branding and the strategic value of the doppelgänger brand image. *Journal of Marketing*, 70(1), 50–64. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.2006.70.1.50>
- Woodside, A. G. (2010). Brand-consumer storytelling theory and research: Introduction to a psychology & marketing special issue. *Psychology & Marketing*, 27(6), 531–540. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.20342>
- Zaltman, G. (2003). *How customers think: Essential insights into the mind of the market*. Harvard Business School Press.
- Zhou, Z., Wang, D., Zhang, X., & Zhou, N. (2013). How do brand communities generate brand relationships? *Journal of Business Research*, 66(10), 1258–1263. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2012.02.034>